

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,847	03/19/2007	David H. McFadden	54330/328845	2283
23370 JOHN S. PRA	90 08/13/2007 . ESO		EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			LEUNG, PHILIP H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3742	
	•			
			MAIL DATE.	DELIVERY MODE
¥			08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		δN			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/576,847	MCFADDEN, DAVID H.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip H. Leung	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
n) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2006 is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• •			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-11-2006 & 5-29-2007	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/576,847 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

1. The drawings filed 4-20-2006 are acceptable.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 22, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bakanowski et al (US 4,464,554), in view of Toppan (JP 63-317068) or Jun (US 5,825,000) (all cited by the applicant).

As shown in Figures 2-6 and col. 4, line 46 - col. 8, line 39), Bakanowski shows a microwave cooking oven (10) comprising an oven cavity (24), at least one cooking rack (37), a single magnetron (40), two opposing rectangular waveguide chambers (46, 50) each with a plurality of radiating slots of less than half free space wavelength (col. 7, line 58 - col. 8, line 4). It does not show the slot is configured such that a substantially uniform microwave pattern is achieved without using a mechanical phase-altering device as it includes a mechanical device. However, the term "uniform microwave pattern" is highly relative, any microwave pattern in a microwave oven with or without a mechanical phase altering device can be considered as "uniform" to a degree. Anyway, Toppan shows a microwave oven 10 with slot radiator D including a plurality of slots 13. It teaches "uniform irradiation can be carried out even without

Art Unit: 3742

using a means for rotating the substance" (see Figures 3 and 4 and the English abstract). Jun also shows a microwave oven with radiating slots 232 and 234 for uniform microwave pattern without mechanical phase-altering devices (see Figures 1 and 2 and col. 1, lines 41-62). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bakanowski to arrange its slots so that a uniform radiating pattern is achieved without a mechanical altering device to lower cost, in view of the teaching of Toppan or Jun.

4. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bakanowski et al (US 4,464,554), in view of Toppan (JP 63-317068) or Jun (US 5,825,000), as applied to claims 1-4, 22, 26 and 28 above, and further in view of Dills (US 4,480,164) (cited by the applicant).

Bakanowski shows every feature as claimed except for the use hot gas in additional to the microwave for heating. Dills shows a microwave oven with two rectangular waveguides together with hot air circulation from top and bottom of the oven chamber for heating food placed on a rack (see Figures 1-4 and col. 2, line 18 - col. 5, line 44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify Bakanowski to use hot air circulation for better cooking result, in view of the teaching of Dills.

5. Claims 5-16, 23-25 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bakanowski (US 4,464,554), in view of Toppan (JP 63-317068) or Jun (US 5,825,000), as applied to claims 1-4, 22, 26 and 28 above, and further in view of Blass et al (US 2,704,802) or Smith (US 3,210,511) (both cited by the applicant).

Application/Control Number: 10/576,847

Art Unit: 3742

As shown above, Bakanowski shows every feature as claimed (see Figures 2-6 and col. 4, line 46 - col. 8, line 39) except for the exact arrangement of the slots. Blass shows a microwave oven having a waveguide 14 with slanted radiating slots 19 (see Figures 1-6 and col. 1, line 80 - col. 3, line 48). Smith also shows a microwave oven with two rectangular waveguides each with a radiating slot offset from each other (see Figures 1-3 and col. 1, line 47 - col. 2, line 42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bakanowski to use slanted slots for more uniform radiating patterns and better cooking result, in view of the teaching of Blass or Smith. The exact size and pattern of the slots would have been a matter of engineering expediency following the teaching of these references.

Page 4

6. Claims 17-21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Bakanowski et al (US 4,464,554), in view of Toppan (JP 63-317068) or Jun (US 5,825,000) as applied to claims 1-4, 22, 26 and 28 above, and further in view of Meredith (US 5,369,250) (cited by the applicant).

As shown above, Bakanowski shows every feature as claimed (see Figures 2-6 and col. 4, line 46 - col. 8, line 39) except for the use of a slot cover for sealing the slots. Meredith shows a microwave heating device with a waveguide 6 having slots 7-11 and the slots are covered with a sheet of a dielectric material (see Figures 3-6, col. 2, lines 7-17 and col. 5, lines 12-19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bakanowski to use a cover for sealing the radiating slots to prevent contamination of the

Application/Control Number: 10/576,847

Art Unit: 3742

waveguide and for better impedance matching, in view of the teaching of Meredith. The exact material of the cover would have been a matter of mere design variations.

7. Dorr (US 6,437,303) is further cited to show a microwave furnace with slotted waveguide for microwave input.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H. Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-4782.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on (571)-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 8-4-2007